



# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name		County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Ridgewood School	Elementary	12-62745-6007744	March 19, 2024	April 8, 2024

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California’s ESSA State Plan supports the state’s approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in

support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state’s Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Ridgewood Elementary School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

- Schoolwide Program
- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

# Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page .....	1
Table of Contents.....	3
Plan Description .....	5
Educational Partner Involvement .....	5
Resource Inequities .....	5
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components .....	5
California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators .....	6
Other Needs .....	6
School and Student Performance Data .....	7
Student Enrollment.....	7
CAASPP Results.....	9
ELPAC Results .....	13
Student Population .....	16
Overall Performance .....	18
Academic Performance .....	19
Academic Engagement .....	25
Conditions & Climate.....	28
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures.....	30
Goal 1.....	30
Goal 2.....	37
Goal 3.....	43
Goal 4.....	44
Goal 5.....	45
Budget Summary .....	46
Budget Summary .....	46
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds .....	46
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan .....	47
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source.....	47
Expenditures by Funding Source .....	47
Expenditures by Budget Reference .....	47
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source .....	47
Expenditures by Goal.....	47
School Site Council Membership .....	48
Recommendations and Assurances .....	49
Instructions.....	50
Appendix A: Plan Requirements .....	57

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements .....60

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs .....63

# Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Ridgewood Elementary School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

- Schoolwide Program
- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A variety of goals, actions, and services are in place to ensure we meet the two goals in our Local Control and Accountability Plan. Those goals are 1) Increase student achievement levels, improving the foundation for college and career readiness, and 2) Ensure a safe and welcoming learning environment where students are connected and engaged in their education.

Annual review of progress toward these goals is performed through the following:

- Educational partner surveys and meetings
- Review of student performance data by school staff
- Progress monitoring by district Leadership Team
- Development of this plan by the School Site Council

## Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did Ridgewood Elementary School consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

### Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Annual review and update of the SPSA is based on results from LCAP educational partner surveys and input from the School Site Council.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Through the LCAP process and review of data by the School Site Council, the following have been identified as some of our greatest areas of need:

1. Continue to address learning loss
2. Support social-emotional learning
3. Professional development in mathematics
4. Increased academic enrichment opportunities

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

## California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category.

Suspension Rate: Alternate to suspension options included: Time spent in office, reteaching expectations, restorative meetings, and counseling.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance.

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students is one group with a performance level below the “all student” performance. We have paras in every classroom, every day to support students academically and social/emotionally. We have a pullout intervention program to assist with reading for all grade levels.

## Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Ridgewood Elementary School. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

### Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
American Indian	2.95%	2.08%	3.09%	7	5	8
African American	0.42%	0%	%	1	0	
Asian	4.22%	3.33%	4.25%	10	8	11
Filipino	%	0%	%	0	0	
Hispanic/Latino	16.88%	17.5%	18.15%	40	42	47
Pacific Islander	0.42%	0.83%	0.39%	1	2	1
White	59.07%	62.08%	60.23%	140	149	156
Two or More Races	16.03%	13.75%	13.51%	38	33	35
Not Reported	%	0.42%	0.39%	0	1	1
Total Enrollment				237	240	259

### Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24
Kindergarten	82	107	83
Grade 1	63	69	77
Grade 2	92	64	67
Total Enrollment	237	240	259

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Enrollment (overall and subgroups) has increased slightly from the 2020-2021 school year.
2. Kindergarten enrollment maintained, 1st grade increased slightly and 2nd grade decreased by almost 30 students.

# School and Student Performance Data

## English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
English Learners	8	4	13	2.7%	3.4%	5.0%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	8	4	7	1.5%	3.4%	2.7%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)				0.0%		

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our percentage of EL students increased since the 2021-22 school year.



# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A												

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24

<b>Research/Inquiry</b> <b>Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information</b>									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1.

Students in grades TK-2 do not participate in CAASPP testing.

# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24

\* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11															
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A												

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11									
All Grades									

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11									
All Grades									

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 11									
All Grades									

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. Students in grades TK-2 do not participate in CAASPP testing.

# School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's [English Language Proficiency Assessments for California \(ELPAC\)](https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/eng/elpac/) web page or the [ELPAC.org](https://elpac.org) website for more information about the ELPAC.

## ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	7
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades										4	8	12

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	16.67	*	*	33.33	*	*	33.33	*	*	16.67	*	*	12

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	16.67	*	*	50.00	*	*	25.00	*	*	8.33	*	*	12

<b>Written Language</b> <b>Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students</b>															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	8.33	*	*	25.00	*	*	25.00	*	*	41.67	*	*	12

<b>Listening Domain</b> <b>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students</b>												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	16.67	*	*	66.67	*	*	16.67	*	*	12

<b>Speaking Domain</b> <b>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students</b>												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	33.33	*	*	58.33	*	*	8.33	*	*	12

<b>Reading Domain</b> <b>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students</b>												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	8.33	*	*	50.00	*	*	41.67	*	*	12

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	16.67	*	*	50.00	*	*	33.33	*	*	12

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. \* English learner population too small to report test data.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2023-24 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
259	51.4%	5%	0.8%
Total Number of Students enrolled in Ridgewood Elementary School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2023-24 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	13	5%
Foster Youth	2	0.8%
Homeless	10	3.9%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	133	51.4%
Students with Disabilities	26	10%

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	0	0.0%
American Indian	8	3.1%
Asian	11	4.2%
Filipino	0	0.0%
Hispanic	47	18.1%
Two or More Races	35	13.5%
Pacific Islander	1	0.4%
White	156	60.2%

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our largest population is white; hispanic and two or more races are our next highest populations.



2. 51.4% of our students are socioeconomically disadvantaged.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance






The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



### 2024 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
<div>English Language Arts</div> <div> Yellow</div>	<div>Chronic Absenteeism</div> <div> Yellow</div>	<div>Suspension Rate</div> <div> Green</div>
<div>Mathematics</div> <div> Yellow</div>		
<div>English Learner Progress</div> <div> No Performance Color</div>		

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- Students in grades TK-2 do not participate in CAASPP testing.
- Chronic Absenteeism is in the Yellow.
- Using incentive programs to help increase attendance.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance English Language Arts


The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.







Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”





This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<div>All Students</div> <div> Yellow</div> <div>18.7 points below standard</div> <div>Increased 4.4 points</div> <div>67 Students</div>	<div>English Learners</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>	<div>Long-Term English Learners</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>
<div>Foster Youth</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>	<div>Homeless</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>	<div>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>

<b>Students with Disabilities</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>African American</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>American Indian</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>
<b>Asian</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>Filipino</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>Hispanic</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>
<b>Two or More Races</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>Pacific Islander</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>White</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. The percentage for all students increased by 4.4 points.
2. Populations in other areas are insufficient to indicate a performance color.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance Mathematics

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.







Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”





This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2024 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<div>All Students</div> <div> Yellow</div> <div>8.7 points below standard</div> <div>Declined 7.7 points</div> <div>67 Students</div>	<div>English Learners</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>	<div>Long-Term English Learners</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>
<div>Foster Youth</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>	<div>Homeless</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>	<div>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</div> <div> No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>

<b>Students with Disabilities</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>African American</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>American Indian</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>
<b>Asian</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>Filipino</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>Hispanic</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>
<b>Two or More Races</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>Pacific Islander</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<b>White</b>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The performance for all students has decreased 7.7 points.

# School and Student Performance Data



## Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator	
English Learner Progress	Long-Term English Learner Progress
<div></div> <div>No Performance Color making progress.</div> <div>Number Students: 5 Students</div>	<div></div> <div>No Performance Color making progress.</div> <div>Number Students: 0 Students</div>

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Due to low numbers there is no performance level available.

# School and Student Performance Data


## Academic Performance College/Career Report

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



Explore information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2024 Fall Dashboard College/Career Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. No data available.



# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.







Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2024 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<div>All Students</div> <div><p>Yellow</p></div> <div>19.8% Chronically Absent</div> <div>Declined 4.9</div> <div>268 Students</div>	<div>English Learners</div> <div><p>No Performance Color</p></div> <div>38.5% Chronically Absent</div> <div>Declined 7</div> <div>13 Students</div>	<div>Long-Term English Learners</div> <div><p>No Performance Color</p></div> <div>0 Students</div>
<div>Foster Youth</div> <div><p>No Performance Color</p></div> <div>Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy</div> <div>5 Students</div>	<div>Homeless</div> <div><p>No Performance Color</p></div> <div>40% Chronically Absent</div> <div>Increased 3.6</div> <div>20 Students</div>	<div>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</div> <div><p>Orange</p></div> <div>27.5% Chronically Absent</div> <div>Declined 2</div> <div>153 Students</div>

<b>Students with Disabilities</b>  Yellow 19.4% Chronically Absent Declined 22.7 36 Students	<b>African American</b>  No Performance Color 0 Students	<b>American Indian</b>  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy 10 Students
<b>Asian</b>  No Performance Color 27.3% Chronically Absent 0 11 Students	<b>Filipino</b>  No Performance Color 0 Students	<b>Hispanic</b>  Orange 29.2% Chronically Absent Declined 9.5 48 Students
<b>Two or More Races</b>  Red 24.3% Chronically Absent Increased 3.7 37 Students	<b>Pacific Islander</b>  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy 1 Student	<b>White</b>  Yellow 14.9% Chronically Absent Declined 7.5 161 Students

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. As a school site our chronic absenteeism is high at 19.8% with a decline of 4.9.
2. Students with disabilities (19.4% Chronically Absent) and Hispanic students (29.2% Chronically Absent) were identified for Additional Targeted Support and Improvement in chronic absenteeism. Illness has impacted attendance.
3. School is using support strategies, SART and SARB process to increase attendance.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red  
Lowest Performance

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue  
Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

## 2024 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report

Red

## Orange

## Yellow

## Green

## Blue

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

## 2024 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

## All Students

## English Learners

## Long-Term English Learners

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

### Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

## Students with Disabilities

## African American

## American Indian

## Asian

## Filipino

**Hispanic**

## Two or More Races

## Pacific Islander

## White

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Data not available.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.







Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2024 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
<div>All Students</div> <div></div> <div>Green</div> <div>1.1% suspended at least one day</div> <div>Declined 0.5%</div> <div>268 Students</div>	<div>English Learners</div> <div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> <div>0% suspended at least one day</div> <div>Maintained 0%</div> <div>13 Students</div>	<div>Long-Term English Learners</div> <div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> <div>0 Students</div>
<div>Foster Youth</div> <div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> <div>Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy</div> <div>5 Students</div>	<div>Homeless</div> <div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> <div>0% suspended at least one day</div> <div>Maintained 0%</div> <div>20 Students</div>	<div>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</div> <div></div> <div>Green</div> <div>1.3% suspended at least one day</div> <div>Declined 0.4%</div> <div>153 Students</div>

<b>Students with Disabilities</b>  Blue 0% suspended at least one day Declined 2.6% 36 Students	<b>African American</b>  No Performance Color 0 Students	<b>American Indian</b>  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy 10 Students
<b>Asian</b>  No Performance Color 0% suspended at least one day 11 Students	<b>Filipino</b>  No Performance Color 0 Students	<b>Hispanic</b>  Orange 2.1% suspended at least one day Increased 2.1% 48 Students
<b>Two or More Races</b>  Yellow 2.7% suspended at least one day Maintained -0.2% 37 Students	<b>Pacific Islander</b>  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy 1 Student	<b>White</b>  Blue 0.6% suspended at least one day Declined 1.3% 161 Students

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. While our overall suspension rate is low, students with two or more races were suspended at a higher rate.
2. Those students will benefit from restorative practices.
3. The school has had training on trauma informed and restorative practices.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 1

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

#### Student Achievement

Increase achievement levels for all students and each student group, improving the foundation for college and career readiness and preparing students for success.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goal #1

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

This goal supports our mission statement and reflects input from stakeholders. Meets state priorities 1, 2, 4, 7, 8

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
M1.1 Number of highly qualified teachers and appropriate teacher assignments. Priority 1, 2	100% highly qualified, appropriate teacher assignments.	Maintain 100% highly qualified, appropriate teacher assignments.
M1.2 Local multiple measures of student achievement. Priority 8	<p>2020 Second Trimester results - "progressing" or "met"</p> <p>Reading, 73%</p> <p>Writing, 67%</p> <p>Mathematics, 79%</p> <p>2021 Second Trimester results-"progressing" or "met"</p> <p>Reading, 71%</p> <p>Writing, 71%</p> <p>Math, 83%</p>	<p>Second trimester results - "progressing" or "met"</p> <p>Maintain Reading, 73%</p> <p>Increase Writing, 70%</p> <p>Maintain Mathematics, 79%</p>
M1.3 A broad course of study provided to all students. Priority 7	100% student access and participation, including unduplicated students and students with disabilities, in all areas of study: ELA, math, history/ social science, science, the arts, health, and physical education.	Maintain 100% student access and participation, including unduplicated students and students with disabilities, in all areas of study: ELA, math, history/social science, science, the arts, health, and physical education.
M1.4 CAASPP scores-reduce the gaps in achievement. Priority 4.	<p>Met/Exceeded in 2019/20:</p> <p>ELA</p> <p>All students: 52%</p> <p>SED: 43%</p> <p>SWD: 24%</p> <p>Hispanic: 38%</p>	<p>Meet/Exceed in 2024:</p> <p>ELA</p> <p>All students: 55%</p> <p>SED: 46%</p> <p>SWD: 27%</p> <p>Hispanic: 41%</p>

	<p>White: 56%</p> <p>MATHEMATICS All students: 43% SED: 33% SWD: 26% Hispanic: 34% White: 50%</p> <p>Data not available. No testing in the Spring of 2020/21. See local data in M1.2.</p>	<p>White: 53%</p> <p>MATHEMATICS All students: 46% SED: 36% SWD: 29% Hispanic: 37% White: 53%</p>
M1.5 CA Dashboard ELA Achievement Rating, grades 3-6: increase by at least 3 points. Priority 4	<p>2019/20 achievement ratings: 22 All students: 4.8 SED: -10.4 SWD: -56.7 Hispanic: -15.2 White: 14.1</p> <p>Data not available. No testing in the Spring of 2021. See local data in M1.2.</p>	<p>All students: 10.8 SED: -4.4 SWD: -44.7 Hispanic: -3.2 White: 20.1</p>
M1.6 CA Dashboard Mathematics Achievement Rating, grades 3-6. Priority 4	<p>2019/20 achievement ratings: All students: -11.3 SED: -29.1 SWD: -68.1 Hispanic: -34.6 White: -1.7</p> <p>Data not available. No testing in the Spring of 2021/22. See local data in M1.2.</p>	<p>All students: -5.3 SED: -23.1 SWD: -62.1 Hispanic: -28.6 White: 4.3</p>
M1.7 5th grade Science California Science Test scores. Priority 4	<p>Met/Exceeded in 2019/20: All students 36.14% SED: 27.03% SWD: 13.34% Hispanic: 28.57% White: 44.23%</p> <p>Data not available. No testing in the Spring of 2020/21.</p>	<p>Meet/Exceed in 2024: All students 42% SED: 33% SWD: 19% Hispanic: 35% White: 50%</p>
M1.8 Class size. Priority 4	<p>Class size 24:1 or less, grades TK-3; 28 or less, 4-6</p>	<p>Class size 24:1 or less, grades TK-3; 28 or less, 4-6</p>
M1.9 Percentage of English learner pupils making progress toward English proficiency. Priority 4	<p>ELPAC reporting by state board. Data is suppressed because 10 or fewer students were tested in 2019-2020. In 2020-2021 15 students in program and in 2021-22, 12 students.</p> <p>ELPAC data shows 71% of the students improved from the 2020-2021 to the 2021-2022 school year.</p>	<p>If student population increases, data will be reported, and desired outcome will be as follows: Students testing at Level 3 on the ELPAC will be reclassified as fluent within two years of first testing at Level 3.</p>

M1.10 Grade 5 physical fitness scores. Priority 8	<p>Students' HFZ (2018 -19):  Aerobic Capacity: 66.3%  Body Composition: 61.6%  Abdominal Strength: 89.5%  Trunk Extension Strength: 96.5%  Upper Body Strength: 47.7%  Flexibility: 86.0%</p> <p>Students' HFZ (2021-2022):  Aerobic Capacity: 81.7%  Body Composition: Not taken this year  Abdominal Strength: 90.3%  Trunk Extension Strength: 100%  Upper Body Strength: 66.7%  Flexibility: 91.4%</p>	<p>Students' HFZ:  Aerobic Capacity: 68.3%  Body Composition: 63.6%  Abdominal Strength: 91.5%  Trunk Extension Strength: 96.5%(maintain)  Upper Body Strength:49.7%  Flexibility: 88.0%</p>
M1.11 Implementation of State Standards and Professional Development by certificated staff. Priority 2.	<p>2019-2020  a) Teacher survey on a scale of 0-5 where 5 indicates Full, Sustainable Implementation of the Standards indicates:  EngLangArts: 4.14,  EngLangDev 2.62,  Math 4.10,  NGSScience 4.10,  Hist/Soc Science 3.38  b) Two full days of professional development by each teacher.</p> <p>2021-2022  a) Teacher survey on a scale of 0-5 where 5 indicates Full, Sustainable Implementation of the Standards indicates:  EngLangArts: 4.32,  EngLangDev 3.18,  Math 4.86,  NGSScience 4.36,  Hist/Soc Science 3.23  b). 5 full days of professional development by each teacher.</p>	<p>a) Increase implementation by .10 each year in each content area.  b) Maintain two full days of professional development for each teacher.</p>
M1.12 Arts integration self reported staff survey. Priority 2, 7	<p>Baseline scores to be determined 2021-2022  a) Teacher survey on a scale of 0-5 where 5 indicates Full, Sustainable Implementation of the Standards indicates: VAPA 3.68</p>	<p>Desired outcome for 2023-24 will be identified when baseline is determined.</p>
M1.13 Participation rates in music opportunities. Priority 2, 7	<p>100% students receiving music instruction.</p>	<p>Maintain 100% of students receiving music instruction.</p>
M 1.14 English learner access to the CCSS and ELD standards for purposes of gaining academic content knowledge and English language proficiency. Priority 2	<p>All English learner pupils have access to the CCSS and ELD standards, aligned to current adopted curriculum: Engage National Geographic ELA, Fountas &amp; Pinnell, and Rosetta Stone.</p>	<p>Maintain full access to the CCSS and ELD standards for English learner pupils.</p>



--	--	--

## Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
<b>1.1</b>	1.1 Teacher Assignment a. All students taught by highly qualified certificated teachers no misassignments; employ administrator staffing sufficient to support the school program b. Provide induction support as needed through NCTIP c. Classroom supplies; \$500 per classroom	All Students	3,354,779.00 LCFF 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries
<b>1.2</b>	1.2 ELA and Math Intervention Provide Tier 2 RTI / Targeted Intervention Program (TIP) and Math Assistance Program (MAP), including program oversight and training, and purchase research--based curriculum and assessment. a. 0.50 FTE Classified Coordinator - Cutten School b. 0.60 FTE Classified Coordinator - Ridgewood School c. 0.50 hour daily x 25 instructional aides for 1:1 or small group direct instruction d. Assessment/instructional materials	All Students	92,392.00 LCFF
<b>1.3</b>	1.3 Special Education Resource Program and Speech & Language Services Provide special education and speech and language services. a. 2.0 FTE resource teacher salary b. 1.0 FTE speech and language pathologist c. 1.0 FTE speech and language pathologist assistant d. 3.56 FTE Special Education Assistants e. Assessment and curriculum materials	All Students	522,421.00 Special Education
<b>1.4</b>	1.4 Special Day Class Maintain TK - 2 Special Day Class at Ridgewood School. a. Special Day Class teacher b. 1.025 FTE Special Day Class aides	All Students	130,790.00 Special Education
<b>1.5</b>	1.5 Instructional Aides Maintain instructional aides in every classroom to support all students 2.5 hours daily. a. 9.375 FTE instructional aides	All Students	263,389.00 Title I
<b>1.6</b>	1.6 Instructional Aide Support Instructional aides to provide support specifically for unduplicated student groups a. .75 FTE Instructional aides	All Students	6,345.00 LCFF

<b>1.7</b>	1.7 GATE Services Provide GATE services, grades 4 through -6 a. 0.30 FTE Teacher b. Materials	All Students	20,204.00
<b>1.8</b>	1.8 Student to Teacher/Instructional Aide Ratio Support opportunities for differentiation to provide appropriate instruction for the low-income student population that is underperforming by decreasing student to teacher and instructional aide ratio. a. 1.0 FTE classroom teacher: maintain average class sizes of 28:1, grades 4 – 6 b. 0.375 FTE instructional aide	All Students	134,765.00 LCFF
<b>1.9</b>	1.9 Music Education Provide music instruction to all students. a. .75 FTE certificated music teacher b. Materials and supplies	All Students	72,763.00
<b>1.10</b>	1.10 Music Education Music education for students identified in the unduplicated group to provide an opportunity to access music education that is not otherwise available. a. .25 FTE certificated music teacher	All Students	23,088.00 LCFF
<b>1.11</b>	1.11 Next Generation Science Standards Implement Next Generation Science Standards. a. Purchase NGSS curriculum materials b. Provide training to implement new curriculum c. Provide substitutes for teacher team collaboration	All Students	00.00
<b>1.12</b>	1.12 Arts Education Integrate and strengthen arts instruction across the curriculum. a. Hire a part time 0.5 FTE arts instructor b. Provide professional development c. Participate in arts collaborative with the COE	All Students	43,440.00
<b>1.13</b>	1.13 Technology and Infrastructure Ensure that the District's technology and infrastructure are maintained and up to date. a. Maintain 0.80 FTE District Technology Coordinator b. Replace obsolete and aging devices c. Provide IT support for infrastructure (consultant)	All Students	55,472.00
<b>1.14</b>	1.14 Summer School Provide summer school to under-performing students to mitigate learning loss. a. certificated staff b. classified staff c. administrator d. curriculum and materials	All Students	183,202.00
<b>1.15</b>	1.15 Devices for Student Groups	All Students	5,950.00 LCFF

	Provide devices / apps for unduplicated count students to support classroom learning and TIP. a. Purchase 50 Chromebooks for loan to unduplicated students b. Hotspot subscriptions to provide Internet connectivity for unduplicated students.		
<b>1.16</b>			00.00
<b>1.17</b>	1.17 Leadership Team Evaluate, monitor, and modify formative and summative multiple measures assessment tools; facilitate high quality professional development; and research state standards curriculum and instruction to support effective teaching and student achievement. a. Leadership Team Stipend b. Assessment tools (Fountas & Pinnell benchmark kits, STAR Reading and Math, etc.) c. Professional development presenter fees, materials, subscriptions, and registration fees	All Students	25,000.00
<b>1.18</b>	1.18 Library Staffing Staff libraries for increased access for students, staff, and families. a. 1.50 FTE Library tech / aide b. Supplies c. Professional Development d. Certificated Librarian services through contract with HERC	All Students	98,556.00
<b>1.19</b>	1.19 Language Development Support Provide instructional materials for English Learners, Re-designated Fluent English-speaking, and students with language deficits as determined by individual need. a. ELPAC coordinator b. Instructional materials	English Language Students	11,000.00 LCFF
<b>1.20</b>	1.20 Classroom Funds Support student learning with classroom funds. a. Provide teachers with a yearly allowance.	All Students	15,000.00

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 2

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

#### Safe and Welcoming Environment

Ensure a high level of student, family, and community involvement in a safe, inclusive, and welcoming learning environment where the academic and social/emotional well being for each student is emphasized through a multi-tiered system of supports.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goal #2

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

This goal supports our mission statement and reflects input from stakeholders. Meets state priorities 1, 3, 5, 6

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
M2.1 Suspension / expulsion rates Priority 6	<p>2019/20 suspension data: Five students suspended, of an enrollment of 626. All students: .8% SED: 1.0% SWD: 2.9% Hispanic: 2.2% American Indian: 0.0% White: 0.3% Two or more races: 1.2% Expulsion rate = 0%</p> <p>2021/2022 suspension data: 7 students suspended, of an enrollment of 551. All students: 1.3% SED: 2.3% SWD: 1.4% Hispanic: 2.9% American Indian: 1.4% White: 5.7% Two or more races: 0.0% Expulsion rate = 0%</p>	Maintain or decrease all student suspension rate from .8%. All student groups suspension rate maintained or declined from baseline.
M2.2 School Safety and Connectedness of students, staff, and parents. Priority 6	<p>2019/20 42% response rate (32 of 77 fifth grade students) School connectedness 81%; Academic motivation 75%; Caring adult relationships 74%; High expectations 90%; Meaningful participation 39%; Feel safe at school 92%; Students well behaved 52%; Students treated fairly when break school rules 64%; Students treated with respect 96% Reference survey data@wested.org</p> <p>Parents responding positively to the following: School safety: 94% Connectedness: 98% Staff responding positively to the following: School safety: 96% Connectedness: 100% 2021/2022</p> <p>Reference survey data@wested.org Data collected every other year.</p>	<p>Increase response rate to 70% School connectedness 85%; Academic motivation 80%; Caring adult relationships 80%; High expectations 90%; Meaningful participation 75%; Feel safe at school 92%; Students well behaved 70%; Students treated fairly when break school rules 70%; Students treated with respect 96%</p> <p>Parents responding positively to the following: School safety: 94% Connectedness: 98%</p> <p>Staff responding positively to the following: School safety: 96% Connectedness: 100%</p>
M2.3 Facility Inspection Tool. Priority 1	"Good" or "excellent" standards per FIT reports	Maintain "good" or "excellent" standards per FIT reports
M2.4 Williams Report: Every pupil has sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials. Priority 1	Every pupil in the school district has sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials. No Williams Act complaints.	Maintain sufficient instructional materials for all pupils and "no complaints" status per Williams Act.

M 2.5 Custodian staffing levels. Priority 6	Custodial and maintenance staffing levels at 3.92 FTE.	Maintain 2021-22 staffing levels.
M2.6 Attendance rates. Priority 3, 5	Attendance rate at 2020 P2: 96.37%. Attendance rate at 2021 P2: 95.54%.	Maintain or increase attendance rate at P2 96%.
M2.7 Chronic absenteeism rate. Priority 5	Chronic absence rate at 2020 P2: 7.33%. Chronic absence rate at 2021 P2: 7.87%.  Chronic absence rate 2022 P2: for Hispanic 21.4% and SwD 26.1%	Chronic absence rate at 2020 P2: 7%. Chronic absence rate for Hispanic and SwD at 2024 P2: 9%
M2.8 Parent / guardian participation and decision making opportunities for all students, including unduplicated students, for the school district and each individual school site. Priority 3	2019/20: Parent / Guardian participation rates, all groups: Parent/teacher conference rate: 98% Parent survey/input responses: 151 Student survey responses (3rd-6th): 228 (71%) Participation in IEPs: 100% LCAP community meeting: 20 participants School Site Council membership: 5 parents (full representation) with one parent of unduplicated pupil. 2021/22 Parent / Guardian participation rates, all groups: Parent/teacher conference rate:98% Parent survey/input responses: 42 on ESSER III Input survey Student survey responses (3rd-6th): 211 (66%) Participation in IEPs: 100% LCAP community meeting: used school site council and board meetings as input meetings this year. School Site Council membership: 5 parents (full representation) with one parent of unduplicated pupil.	
M2.9 School meals served. Priority 5	School meals served at 2020 P2: Total lunches.....26,283 Total breakfasts.....6,028 School meals served at 2021 P2: Total lunches.....52,414 Total breakfasts.....8,691	Maintain or increase school meals served at 2020 P2: Total lunches.....26,283 Total breakfasts.....6,028
M2.10 Ventilation and heating in classrooms. Priority 1	Replace 9 unit heaters at Ridgewood School and 13 at Cutten School. Install ventilation systems and thermostats.  2021 Replaced 9 unit heaters at Ridgewood School and in the process of installing 13 at Cutten School. 9 ventilation systems and thermostats	All classrooms and common spaces at both schools have safe and adequate heating and ventilation.

	fully installed at Ridgewood and 13 at Cutten.	
--	--	--

## Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
<b>2.1</b>	2.1 Social Work and/or Behavioral Services Provide school social work and/or behavioral services. a. .80 FTE School Social Worker	All Students	87,793.00
<b>2.2</b>	2.2 Social Work Services to Unduplicated Count Students Focus school social work services on unduplicated count students. a. 1.0 FTE Certificated School Social Worker	All Students	85,964.00 LCFF
<b>2.3</b>	2.3 School Climate Team and PBIS Maintain district-wide Positive Behavior Support System - PBIS. a. Facilitator stipend b. Team stipends c. Professional development d. Classroom aide training	All Students	12,000.00
<b>2.4</b>	2.4 Heating and Ventilation Upgrade Replace unit heaters with mini-split electrical and ventiation units. Install bi-polar ionization devices. a. Phase 1 Ridgewood School b. Phase 2 Cutten School, part 1 c. Phase 3 Cutten School, part 2 d. Electrical upgrade at Cutten School	All Students	297,958.00
<b>2.5</b>	2.5 Maintenance/Custodial Staff and Resources Provide adequate maintenance/custodial staff and resources. a. 3.92 FTE Maintenance/custodial staff b. Supplies c. Repairs	All Students	397,451.00
<b>2.6</b>	2.6 Equipment and Supplies Other Than Curriculum Purchase equipment and supplies necessary to adequately support classrooms (other than curriculum materials). a. Classroom supplies b. Classroom desks	All Students	30,000
<b>2.7</b>	2.7 Facility Deferred Maintenance Plan for deferred maintenance projects. a. Cutten School Fencing b. Cutten kitchen improvements c. Cutten Community building remodel	All Students	00.00



<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8 Support Services to Parents</b> Provide services to support parents/guardian attending parent education, informational meetings, school events, and in volunteering at school. a. Child care b. Trainer / Interpreter fees c. Meeting supplies	All Students	2000.00 LCFF
<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.9 Communication with Parents</b> Communicate effectively with parents/guardians through website, mass notification system, telephone, mailings, and meetings. a. 1.29 FTE school secretaries -support for all school functions; frequently first point of community contact (exclusive of salary attributed to transportation & cafeteria). b. SchoolWise student information system c. SchoolWise mass notification system d. Remind App communication subscription	All Students	124,181.00
<b>2.10</b>	<b>2.10 Enhance School Meal Program</b> a. District contribution	All Students	00.00
<b>2.11</b>	<b>2.11</b> Provide bus transportation within the district, and field trip transportation a. 0.75 FTE bus drivers b. 0.10 FTE business manager c. Fuel, parts for repair d. vehicle maintenance	All Students	53,769.00
<b>2.12</b>	<b>2.-.12 Decrease suspension rate</b> a. .10 Social Worker b. Incentives	All Students	11,975.00
<b>2.13</b>	<b>2.13 Decrease Chronic Absenteeism</b> a. .10 FTE School Social Worker b. Parent education c. Materials d. Incentives	All Students	13,025.00 LCFF
<b>2.14</b>	<b>2.14 Bus transportation service for Low -Income Students.</b> Provide a safe and reliable means of transportation to and from school for low-income students. a. .75 FTE Bus driver	All Students	188,106.00 LCFF
<b>2.15</b>	<b>2.15 Student Council</b> Maintain fifth and sixth grade Student Council at Cutten. a. Advisor stipend b. Materials & supplies c. Field trips	All Students	1,705.00
<b>2.16</b>	<b>2.16 Career Exploration and Cultural Events to Build School Connections.</b> Provide services to support parents/guardians of unduplicated student groups to build	All Students	2,500.00 LCFF

	connectedness through career exploration and cultural events and to encourage attendance of school events. a. Event fees b. Supplies		
<b>2.17</b>	2.17 Attendance and Parent Education Support Provide opportunities for parent and school partnership to improve attendance and parent involvement through a systems approach. a. .20 FTE Administrator	All Students	21,228.00 LCFF
<b>2.18</b>	2.18 Counseling Services 1:1 counseling services for identified students a. 0.65 FTE classified counselor	All Students	6,200.00

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 3

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
-------------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

## Annual Review

**SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24**

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 4

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
-------------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

## Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 5

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
-------------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

## Annual Review

**SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24**

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

## Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$18,582.00
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$6,394,411.00
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$0

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

**Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.**

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title I	\$263,389.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$263,389.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
	\$1,536,669.00
LCFF	\$3,941,142.00
Special Education	\$653,211.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$6,131,022.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$6,394,411.00

# Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

## Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
----------------	--------	---------

## Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
	1,536,669.00
LCFF	3,941,142.00
Special Education	653,211.00
Title I	263,389.00

## Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
	1,335,855.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	3,354,779.00

## Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
		1,536,669.00
	LCFF	586,363.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	LCFF	3,354,779.00
	Special Education	653,211.00
	Title I	263,389.00

## Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	5,058,556.00
Goal 2	1,335,855.00

# School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 3 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 5 Parent or Community Members
- 0 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role
Annette Sligh	Principal
Shandi Ashmore	Classroom Teacher
Melissa Seymour	Classroom Teacher
Chara Troyer	Classroom Teacher
Tina Standish	Classroom Teacher
Morgan McMahon	Parent or Community Member
Carissa Carsey	Parent or Community Member
Nancy Corran	Parent or Community Member
Sean Galt	Parent or Community Member
Teresa McGinnis	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.



# Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature	Committee or Advisory Group Name
-----------	----------------------------------

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Principal, Annette Sligh on
SSC Chairperson, Tina Standish on

# Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code (EC)* Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

## Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at [LCFF@cde.ca.gov](mailto:LCFF@cde.ca.gov).

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at [TITLEI@cde.ca.gov](mailto:TITLEI@cde.ca.gov).

## Plan Description

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

### **Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

### **Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

## Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

### **Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

### **Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

## Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

**SWP Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

**CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

**ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

## **Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures**

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA’s LCAP.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

**Goal**

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach.

A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **Specific,**
- **Measurable,**
- **Achievable,**
- **Realistic, and**
- **Time-bound.**

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

**Identified Need**

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

**Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

## **Strategies/Activities Table**

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal.

Complete the table as follows:

- **Strategy/Activity #:** Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- **Description:** Describe the strategy/activity.
- **Students to be Served:** Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners.
- **Proposed Expenditures:** List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures. Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to *EC* Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- **Funding Sources:** List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the ATSI designation.

**Note:** Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

## Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

## Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

**Note:** If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

## Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

**Note:** *If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.*

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

- From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

**Note:** *CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.*

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

**Note:** *Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.*

## **Budget Summary Table**

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.



# Appendix A: Plan Requirements

## Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

## Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
  - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
    1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
      - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
      - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
        - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
        - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
        - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
        - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
        - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
    - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

## Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
  - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
  - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
  - a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
  - b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
    - i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
    - ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
    - iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
  - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
    - i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
    - ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
    - iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
    - iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
    - v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
  1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
  2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
  3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
  - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
  - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (34 *CFR*), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

## Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at [SISO@cde.ca.gov](mailto:SISO@cde.ca.gov).

### Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (*Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatory-guidance-evidence.pdf>);

Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

### Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

## **CSI Resources**

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab):  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp>
- **CSI Webinars:** <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp>
- **CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:**  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp>

## Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

## Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

## ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab):  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp>
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar:  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf>
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp>

## Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>
- Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023